

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHANTAY TEEL,

No. CIV.S-05-0422 DAD

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER AND

JO ANNE B. BARNHART,
Commissioner of Social
Security,

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Defendant.

_____ /


On June 9, 2005, the court issued an order to show cause why plaintiff has failed to execute and file the form entitled "Notice Re Consent or Request for Reassignment." Plaintiff was ordered to respond in writing within ten days. The time period has now expired and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action shall be assigned a United States District Judge randomly selected by the Clerk of the Court. All documents filed in the future in this matter shall display the case number assigned by the Clerk.

1 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
2 without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L.R. 11-110.

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the
4 United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the
5 provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten (10) days after
6 being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may
7 file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all
8 parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to
9 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are
10 advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may
11 waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez
12 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

13 DATED: July 13, 2005.

14 

15 _____
DALE A. DROZD
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DAD:lg
18 ddadl/orders.socsec/teel0422.f&r.assign
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26